



## **Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee**

Date: Tuesday, 7 September 2021

Time: 10.00 am

Venue: Council Chamber, Level 2, Town Hall Extension

Everyone is welcome to attend this committee meeting.

There will be a private meeting for Members of the Committee at 9.30 am in the Council Chamber.

### **Access to the Public Gallery**

Access to the Public Gallery is on Level 3 of the Town Hall Extension, using the lift or stairs in the lobby of the Mount Street entrance to the Extension. **There is no public access from any other entrance.**

### **Filming and broadcast of the meeting**

Meetings of the Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee are 'webcast'. These meetings are filmed and broadcast live on the Internet. If you attend this meeting you should be aware that you might be filmed and included in that transmission.

## **Membership of the Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee**

---

**Councillors** - Hacking (Chair), Azra Ali, Shaukat Ali, Andrews, Battle, Chambers, Connolly, M Dar, Douglas, Evans, Grimshaw, Hilal, S Judge, Rawson, Sheikh, Whiston, Wills and Wilson

## Agenda

---

**1. Urgent Business**

To consider any items which the Chair has agreed to have submitted as urgent.

**2. Appeals**

To consider any appeals from the public against refusal to allow inspection of background documents and/or the inclusion of items in the confidential part of the agenda.

**3. Interests**

To allow Members an opportunity to [a] declare any personal, prejudicial or disclosable pecuniary interests they might have in any items which appear on this agenda; and [b] record any items from which they are precluded from voting as a result of Council Tax/Council rent arrears; [c] the existence and nature of party whipping arrangements in respect of any item to be considered at this meeting. Members with a personal interest should declare that at the start of the item under consideration. If Members also have a prejudicial or disclosable pecuniary interest they must withdraw from the meeting during the consideration of the item.

**4. Minutes**

To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 20 July 2021.

Pages  
5 - 12

**5. Histories, Stories and Voices in Manchester's Public Realm**  
Report of the Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods)

Pages  
13 - 40

This report provides an update about the Histories, Stories and Voices programme.

**6. Domestic Abuse - to follow**

**7. Overview Report**

Report of the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit

Pages  
41 - 48

This report provides the Committee with details of key decisions that fall within the Committee's remit and an update on actions resulting from the Committee's recommendations. The report also includes the Committee's work programme, which the Committee is asked to amend as appropriate and agree.

## Information about the Committee

Scrutiny Committees represent the interests of local people about important issues that affect them. They look at how the decisions, policies and services of the Council and other key public agencies impact on the city and its residents. Scrutiny Committees do not take decisions but can make recommendations to decision-makers about how they are delivering the Our Manchester Strategy, an agreed vision for a better Manchester that is shared by public agencies across the city.

The Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee examines the work of the Council and its partners relating to reducing levels of crime, community cohesion, older people and equality and inclusion.

The Council wants to consult people as fully as possible before making decisions that affect them. Members of the public do not have a right to speak at meetings but may do so if invited by the Chair. If you have a special interest in an item on the agenda and want to speak, tell the Committee Officer, who will pass on your request to the Chair. Groups of people will usually be asked to nominate a spokesperson. The Council wants its meetings to be as open as possible but occasionally there will be some confidential business. Brief reasons for confidentiality will be shown on the agenda sheet.

The Council welcomes the filming, recording, public broadcast and use of social media to report on the Committee's meetings by members of the public.

Agenda, reports and minutes of all Council Committees can be found on the Council's website [www.manchester.gov.uk](http://www.manchester.gov.uk).

Smoking is not allowed in Council buildings.

Joanne Roney OBE  
Chief Executive  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Town Hall Extension,  
Albert Square,  
Manchester, M60 2LA.

## Further Information

---

For help, advice and information about this meeting please contact the Committee Officer:

Rachel McKeon  
Tel: 0161 234 4497  
Email: [rachel.mckeon@manchester.gov.uk](mailto:rachel.mckeon@manchester.gov.uk)

This agenda was issued on **Friday, 27 August 2021** by the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit, Manchester City Council, Level 3, Town Hall Extension (Lloyd Street Elevation), Manchester M60 2LA

This page is intentionally left blank

## **Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee**

### **Minutes of the meeting held on 20 July 2021**

#### **Present:**

Councillor Wilson - In the Chair  
Councillors Azra Ali, Andrews, Chambers, Connolly, Evans, Grimshaw, Hilal, Rawson and Wills

#### **Also present:**

Councillor Bridges, Executive Member for Children's Services  
Louise Harding, MCR Active  
Nicky Boothroyd, MCR Active  
Ivan Wadeson, Manchester City of Literature

#### **Apologies:**

Councillors M Dar, Douglas, Hacking, S Judge, Sheikh and Whiston

#### **CESC/21/28            Chair**

The Committee Support Officer informed Members that the Chair had sent his apologies for the meeting and asked for nominations for a Member to chair the meeting. A Member nominated Councillor Wilson, which was seconded by another Member and agreed by the Committee.

#### **Decision**

To appoint Councillor Wilson as Chair for the meeting.

#### **CESC/21/29        Minutes**

#### **Decision**

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 22 June 2021 as a correct record.

#### **CESC/21/30        Homelessness**

The Committee received a presentation of the Director of Homelessness which provided an update on homelessness in Manchester. The Chair expressed concern that the presentation slides had only been received on the day of the meeting.

The main points and themes within the presentation included:

- The service environment;
- Ending the routine use of bed and breakfast accommodation for families;
- Redesign of the Private Rented Sector Scheme;
- Woodward Court and the Direct Access Hostel;
- Temporary accommodation review;
- Service redesign; and
- Outcomes.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

- That the Committee was likely to look at the service redesign at a future meeting;
- Request for more data on past, present and forecasted homelessness;
- Families temporarily living with relatives or friends and the need to build more social housing;
- Whether there was sufficient housing in the private rented sector;
- People being provided with housing in other areas, when their support networks were in their current area;
- The collaboration with Lambeth Council;
- Request for a report on Woodward Court;
- Timescales for the projects outlined in the presentation; and
- That the Executive Member for Housing and Employment should be invited to a future meeting to discuss housing strategy.

The Director of Homelessness advised Members that the Private Rented Sector Scheme was being designed to prioritise housing within the Council's area. In response to a Member's question, he advised that properties would be sought with rents set at housing allowance levels. He agreed that more social housing was needed, including to address hidden need, such as people living with relatives. He assured Members that Lambeth Council was not one of the southern councils which was re-locating a lot of homeless families to other parts of the country.

The Chair thanked the Director of Homelessness for his presentation.

### **Decisions**

1. To note the presentation.
2. That the requests from Members for further information and reports at future meetings would be discussed with Councillor Hacking on his return.

### **CESC/21/31 Manchester Playing Pitch Strategy Update**

The Committee received a report of the Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods) which updated the Committee on the progress of the Manchester Playing Pitch Strategy and proposals for consideration over the next 12-month period.

The main points and themes within the report included:

- Supply and demand update;
- Playing field and outdoor sport facility improvements;
- New site management arrangements; and
- Manchester Playing Pitch Strategy refresh.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

- Request for data on the number of people using the pitches and equality data, if available;
- Whether those using the playing pitches would be consulted with, including local youth clubs;
- That pitches needed to be resilient to climate change, specifically different weather conditions, and that 3G pitches should be as sustainable as possible; and
- Private pitches that were not being used and might be lost to redevelopment.

Louise Harding advised that information could be provided on the users of playing pitches. She advised that her service would be liaising with Neighbourhood Managers to obtain information on youth clubs and other groups within local areas so that a range of local people were engaged with about plans for local playing pitches, in addition to traditional sports clubs. In response to a Member's question, she confirmed that an Equality Impact Assessment would be carried out on the refresh of the Strategy and that a section on this would be included in a future report to the Committee. She advised that MCR Active was having discussions with funding partners and the Council about climate change and sustainable technologies for pitches. She offered to provide Members with an update on work on sustainable 3G pitches at a future meeting. She reported that her service was consulted on any planning applications on playing pitch land and that, unless there was a mitigation plan, the service would be object to the application.

In response to a Member's question, Louise Harding advised that her service was currently in discussions with the Council's Education Service regarding the plans for the Newall Green High School site and whether the site could be maintained for community use and she offered to provide Members with an update on this at a future meeting; however, she advised that MCR Active was also looking at a relocation plan for clubs, groups and users of the former school's facilities. She also outlined work to assess and gather evidence on the shortfall of football pitches in Wythenshawe and, if necessary, to identify a site and funding for new 3G provision in the area. In response to a question from the Chair, she outlined proposals for club cricket sites to be used for more informal cricket. In response to a Member's question on an activation programme for cricket wickets, she confirmed that this would be going ahead and that she could share further information on this.

## **Decision**

To note the report, subject to Members' comments.

## **CESC/21/32 Recycling in Leisure Centres**

The Committee received a report of the Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods) which provided an overview of recycling rates for Council owned leisure centres and highlighted the progress made through the pandemic from February 2020 to May 2021. It highlighted the progress that had been made in generating a sustainable waste and recycling structure to achieve zero waste to landfill and increase recycling in all the leisure centres. This was one of the objectives set out in MCR Active's Leisure Facilities Sustainability Strategy 2020-2025 in response to the Council's priorities for waste.

The main points and themes within the report included:

- Background information;
- Recycling rates; and
- Plan for 2021/22.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

- The proportion of gym users using reusable water bottles rather than single-use plastic bottles;
- Measures to reduce COVID-19 transmission and their environmental impact, for example, paper towels to clean down equipment between users;
- The monitoring of recycling rates; and
- Staff training.

Nicky Boothroyd from MCR Active advised that gym users had been increasingly bringing their own equipment such as towels to the gym since leisure centres had re-opened and that this included bringing water bottles with them. She advised that research could be done on the use of reusable bottles as work took place with the vending machine company to remove plastic bottles from the machines. She reported that more cleaning of equipment had to take place during the pandemic although there were alternatives to using paper towels such as fogging machines. She advised Members that environmental issues formed part of the contract monitoring for GLL and SLM and that an audit of staff training was also about to be carried out. She advised that training on climate change had been rolled out to staff across GLL and SLM. In response to a Member's question, she reported that the Regional Gymnastics Centre was not producing any waste which was not recyclable and did not have a general waste collection.

## **Decision**

To note the report.

## **CESC/21/33 Manchester UNESCO City of Literature - Progress Report**

The Committee received a report of Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods) which detailed the progress with Manchester UNESCO City of Literature. It outlined how, following the designation awarded in 2017, an independent organisation was established in 2019. The vision for Manchester City of Literature was for Manchester to be an innovative, distinctive, equitable, globally connected city of reading and writing, where diverse voices were celebrated, creative talent and industries were nurtured and where literary activity changed lives. This report showed the progress the organisation had made towards the vision, how it had unified the city's literary sector to strengthen programmes and events that supported reading for pleasure, literacy and social capital. It also showed how the charity had leveraged additional funds for the city and created new festivals and activity to benefit residents.

The main points and themes within the report included:



- Manchester City of Literature: a partnership network;
- Funding;
- Responding to the pandemic;
- Project activity supporting literacy and reading for pleasure;
- International Mother Language Day;
- Festival of Libraries;
- Community Champions;
- Write Manchester; and
- The future.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

- How would this be publicised;
- Request for more information on the Community Champions referred to in the report;
- How were young writers and illustrators benefitting from Manchester's designation as a UNESCO City of Literature;
- Work with schools; and
- Whether any LGBT-related events had taken place or were planned.

Ivan Wadeson, Executive Director of Manchester City of Literature, advised that there had been a lot of press coverage when Manchester was awarded this status in October 2017 and that work had then taken place to set up the organisation in 2018 to 2019. He advised that a website had been created in November 2020, that the organisation had been working to develop a social media presence and that the events it was delivering also worked to promote awareness of the City of Literature. He outlined the Community Champions programme which was working to identify how the organisation could best support particular communities to come to literary activities on their own terms. He reported that the international network of UNESCO Cities of Literature provided opportunities for young writers and his organisation worked with the community of writers it was building up to make them aware of those opportunities. In response to a Member's question, he informed the Committee that his organisation was working closely with Read Manchester and that he was a Board Member.

Ivan Wadeson reported that engagement with schools had still taken place during the past year but that it had been online and that the pandemic had affected the numbers involved. He highlighted the Write Manchester programme outlined within the report which would be launched in October 2021 and the resources this would provide for schools. He informed Members that the City of Literature worked with a diverse range of writers and had platformed emerging LGBT writers. A Member suggested doing some work linked in with LGBT History month in February.

## **Decision**

To note the report.

## **CESC/21/34 Read Manchester - Progress Report**

The Committee received a report of the Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods) which detailed the progress with Read Manchester since it was launched in 2016, managed within the library service in partnership with Education, and the National Literacy Trust. It showed how by developing the love of 'reading for pleasure', Read Manchester contributed to tackling low literacy levels in the city. It showed how initiatives such as book gifting had continued to maintain residents' access to reading, during the pandemic. It stated that Read Manchester would be more important than ever over the next 12 months with the Year of the Child.

The main points and themes within the report included:

- Work to ensure that the poorest children in Manchester started school with language and vocabulary skills on par with their peers;
- Work to ensure that all 11-year-olds in Manchester left primary school able to read well;
- Work to ensure that young people in Manchester developed an enjoyment of reading;
- Work to prepare young people in Manchester for the workplace;
- Work to promote book ownership in Manchester;
- Work to promote adult literacy in Manchester;
- Work to engage communities in literacy through libraries in Manchester; and
- The future.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

- To welcome the progress made;
- Community library projects; and
- What feedback there had been from children and young people and how this would inform future work.

The Hub Manager (Read Manchester) recognised the purpose that small community-based libraries served for people who might not want to access an imposing library building and that this project had to expand beyond libraries and schools through networks like this. She advised that summer reading challenge flyers and approximately 2000 books had been distributed to the network of little free libraries and that she would be discussing a proposed small free library for Wythenshawe Park later that day.

The Director of Education informed the Committee that Councillors, senior officers and other were engaging with children and young people to hear their experiences of the pandemic and what they would like to see in 2022, which had been designated as the Year of the Child in Manchester. She advised that reading and libraries had been mentioned a lot by the children and young people, including positive feedback about the reading challenge, visiting libraries and the transition read for pupils moving from primary to secondary school. She advised that school leaders had fed back on the value of children's authors talking to and reading to pupils – either through visits or online during the pandemic – which had brought the books to life for the pupils and encouraged reluctant readers to pick up a book. She informed the

Committee that Read Manchester and events with authors would be a prominent part of the year dedicated to children and young people.

The Executive Member for Children's Services praised the way different Council services and schools had all worked in partnership on Read Manchester.

### **Decision**

To note the report.

### **CESC/21/35 Overview Report**

A report of the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit was submitted. The overview report contained a list of key decisions yet to be taken within the Committee's remit, responses to previous recommendations and the Committee's work programme, which the Committee was asked to approve.

A Member requested that the Committee receive a report on Homelessness at a future meeting to provide an update on the areas of work outlined in the presentation delivered earlier in the meeting. The Chair advised that this would be raised with Councillor Hacking.

### **Decision**

To note the report, subject to the above comments.

This page is intentionally left blank

**Manchester City Council  
Report for Information**

<b>Report to:</b>	Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee – 7 September 2021
<b>Subject:</b>	Histories, Stories and Voices in Manchester’s Public Realm programme - update
<b>Report of:</b>	Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods)

---

## Summary

This report provides an update about the Histories, Stories and Voices programme, including:

- Findings of the public consultation about statues, monuments memorials, artworks in Manchester’s public realm.
- A summary of the draft Cultural and Heritage Object Review report and next steps.
- Information and context about the Public Art Strategy consultancy, which will take place over the coming months.

The purpose of the initiative is to review how the city’s historical and current day diversity is reflected in the city’s public realm and has been supported by a project board chaired by the Deputy Leader.

A consultation and engagement strategy reached out to 1439 people including residents and stakeholders with the support of heritage charity Manchester Histories. The consultation results across an online survey and focus groups indicate that there is public appetite for discussion about statues, monuments, memorials, plaques, and place names in public spaces in Manchester. The consultation findings also provide an indication of the diversity and complexity of views and feelings people hold on this topic.

A Culture and Heritage Object Review was commissioned by the council from Manchester Metropolitan University’s, Manchester Centre for Public History and Heritage. The work to date includes the creation of database of 200 objects - statues, monuments, memorials, and artworks in the public realm, which will be transferred to Manchester Archives + in September 2021. Despite increasing recognition of achievement by women, the review recognised that they are still under-represented by objects in the public realm and that most individuals represented would be perceived as white males by many people viewing them.

The Culture and Heritage Object Review report makes several recommendations for the city council and partners to consider including the proposed use of the resulting detailed database of objects as a management tool, and to make the information more accessible and interactive for the public.

The report describes plans for the preparation of a Public Art Strategy for the city and the tender process currently underway for consultancy support. The Strategy will be used to inform and guide decision-making and ensure future Public Art installations help to deliver a public realm that matches the wider ambitions for the city.

## Recommendations

The committee is asked to consider and comment on the information in the report.

### Wards Affected: All

**Environmental Impact Assessment** - the impact of the issues addressed in this report on achieving the zero-carbon target for the city

The commission for the development of a Public Art Strategy for the city includes considerations to reduce potential the environmental impacts of creating new works for the public realm and the maintenance of new and existing works.

Our Manchester Strategy outcomes	Summary of how this report aligns to the OMS
A thriving and sustainable city: supporting a diverse and distinctive economy that creates jobs and opportunities	A high-quality, interesting and distinctive public realm, including public art, contributes to the city's growth strategy, playing a role in attracting investment, contributing to the city's tourism offer and associated employment.
A highly skilled city: world class and home-grown talent sustaining the city's economic success	Public art developments in the public realm can provide opportunities for local artists in delivering artworks, engaging with local communities and training or mentoring opportunities. As well as opportunities for the local supply chain to provide materials and additional specialist services (e.g., installation)
A progressive and equitable city: making a positive contribution by unlocking the potential of our communities	Integral to the Histories, Stories and Voices programme is considering how Manchester's culture and history as told through the city's public realm is increasingly holistic, meaningful, and reflective of the city's communities past and modern-day identity.

A liveable and low carbon city: a destination of choice to live, visit, work	The commission for the development of a Public Art Strategy for the city includes considerations to reduce potential environmental impacts of creating new works for the public realm and the maintenance of new and existing works. A high quality and distinctive public realm, including arts works, makes the city attractive and engaging for residents and visitors.
A connected city: world class infrastructure and connectivity to drive growth	A high quality and distinctive public realm, including public art works, supported and promoted by accessible, digital applications (as recommended for future development in this report) can provide international reach and support connectivity with other places.

**Contact Officers:**

Name: Fiona Worrall  
 Position: Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods)  
 Telephone: 0161 234 3926  
 E-mail: fiona.worrall@manchester.gov.uk

Name: Neil MacInnes  
 Position: Head of Libraries, Galleries and Culture  
 Telephone: 07717 435 204  
 E-mail: neil.macinnes@manchester.gov.uk

Name: Zoe Williams  
 Position: Culture Lead, Libraries, Galleries and Culture  
 Telephone: 07947 360 174  
 E-mail: zoe.williams@manchester.gov.uk

**Background documents (available for public inspection):**

The following documents disclose important facts on which the report is based and have been relied upon in preparing the report. Copies of the background documents are available up to 4 years after the date of the meeting. If you would like a copy, please contact one of the contact officers above.

- Eventure Research, Public Realm Consultation, Coding and Analysis Report, April 2021

## **1.0 Introduction**

- 1.1 Histories, Stories and Voices in Manchester's Public Realm is a project to review how the city's historical and current day diversity is reflected in the city's public realm. This report provides an update on delivery of the programme.

## **2.0 Background**

- 2.1 Manchester is described as the world's first industrial city, with a rich past, diverse communities, a modern outlook and a dynamic future. It is important that these characteristics are reflected by the city's public realm. Our ambition is to ensure that the stories of Manchester's culture and history as told through the city's public realm is increasingly holistic, meaningful, and reflective of the city's communities past and modern-day identity.
- 2.2 The City Council is reviewing what is in Manchester's public spaces, what information is provided about it and how it gets there -- now and in the future. The programme is delivered within the context of the recent and on-going national and international debate about who and what is represented in public spaces.
- 2.3 There are 3 strands to the review:
- A public consultation – completed
  - A review of Cultural and Heritage Objects in Manchester's Public Realm – Draft report received
  - Development of a Public Art Strategy for the city – October – December 2021
- 2.4 A Project Board, chaired by the City Council's Deputy Leader, is overseeing the review. The board includes representation from both universities in the city and the civic, community and private sectors.
- 2.5 Whilst the city council is leading the Histories, Stories and Voices programme, through the Board, the initiative is engaging other stakeholders with a view to informing shared aims and best practice standards for the city towards achieving the programme's ambition. Within the Council, officers from Culture, including the Art Gallery and Policy, as well as, Public Realm, Planning, Neighbourhoods and City Centre Growth & Infrastructure have been consulted and informed delivery of the programme's 3 strands.

## **3.0 Consultation**

- 3.1 A public consultation was held between 4<sup>th</sup> February and 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2021 as a starting point to find out what people living and working in Manchester think about who and what is represented in the public spaces of Manchester and to stimulate discussion about inclusion and representation in the public realm.
- 3.2 Manchester Histories were engaged to support the consultation process. Manchester Histories are an award-winning charity that collaborates with



communities, individuals and organisations to reveal and celebrate the histories and heritage of Greater Manchester. They also host the Manchester Histories Festival.

- 3.3 The scope of the consultation was informed by the Histories, Stories and Voices Project Board and a panel of individuals from the University of Manchester, Manchester Metropolitan University, Greater Manchester Health & Social Care Partnership, HideOut Youth Zone, Artists and community members convened by Manchester Histories.
- 3.4 The agreed principles for the consultation were that it should:
- Take a discursive approach by including both closed and open questions, recognising this the start of a conversation;
  - Provide space for diverse voices and opinions to be heard;
  - Be used to inform the City Council's and its partners strategic approach to memorials and artworks in the future, including community/resident involvement;
  - Not just focus on statues, but also include monuments, memorials, plaques, and place names in public spaces.
- 3.5 The consultation took place during the 3<sup>rd</sup> Coronavirus national lockdown (January – April 2021) and therefore all consultation activity took place on-line. Officers had hoped to be able to gather opinions using a pavement survey but due to COVID restrictions this was not possible and may have reduced opportunities to canvass responses from people who were not already engaging with public heritage.
- 3.6 The consultation was well promoted via the city council's and partner organisations' community networks, social media platforms and local media, leading to 1439 people taking part consultation overall.
- 3.7 The consultation process consisted of; an on-line survey completed by 1285 respondents; 2 public on-line public forum discussions and an on-line focus group with Manchester Youth Council, a total of 141 participants across all these sessions; 13 Video Box contributors who provided thoughts via a filmed monologue or thought piece.
- 3.8 Across all consultation activity, people were asked to consider:
- If what was in the public realm was a good reflection of Manchester, its history and its communities, including their own
  - What their favourite and least favourite items were and why
  - What was important to them when deciding what to put in public spaces

#### **4.0 Consultation findings**

- 4.1 The closed questions required respondents to indicate how strongly they agreed or disagreed with statements. The results are summarised below:

- Respondents were most concerned that the public realm reflect moments, movements, or people of historical significance, were educational and accessible.
- A significant number (over 60% of respondents) also thought that it was important to ask community views, ensure high artistic quality, reflect moments, movements, or people of community significance, have a maintenance programme, and be thought provoking.
- Respondents were least concerned about creating international or outward looking designs or incorporating digital technology in planning and design.
- Most respondents were neutral about involving young people in planning and design.

4.2 The open questions in the survey gave respondents an opportunity to expand on their thoughts, which provided more context and nuanced reasoning behind preferences.

4.3 Enventure Research was commissioned to undertake the coding and analysis of all open-ended responses received to the consultation to ensure a robust and consistent approach.

## 5.0 Summary Enventure Research report findings:

5.1 Is what is in the public realm was a good reflection of Manchester, its history and its communities?

5.1.1 When asked to provide further comment about the objects (buildings, place names, monuments, statues etc.) currently in Manchester's public spaces, the most common response (29%) was that the objects represent good and bad aspects of history and that it was important to remember all of history. Example verbatim quotes are included below:

*"History both good and bad should be remembered. Whether we support the values of them or not. Because to erase history is to forget history and to forget forces its repeat. Add modern statues maybe but to remove old ones whitewashes the good and the bad."*

*"They represent true history. I am astounded anyone could think about removing or replacing them."*

5.1.2 However, a small proportion (7%) of respondents indicated that they disliked or disagreed with certain objects and what they represent. Example verbatim quotes are included below:

*"Having Gandhi is an insult for everything Pankhurst stood for. Gandhi is not a saint, nor a hero. He is a misogynist."*

*"Many of Manchester's statues have links to the slave trade. They are old. We should be celebrating not rich people but people from diverse backgrounds who have made a positive contribution."*

5.1.3 A further 18% believed that objects should not be removed, replaced, or renamed. Example verbatim quotes are included below:

*“LEAVE THEM ALONE THIS IS MADNESS!!!!”*

*“Nothing should be done to any of Manchester’s monuments, place names and statues.”*

*“I do not like the way certain groups e.g., BLM (Black Lives Matter) are trying to politicise our heritage. To get rid of statues is a negative cynical attempt to alter what has already happened before. If you want to put up new statues go ahead but please do not embark on an attack of British culture in Manchester to satisfy a vocal minority.”*

- 5.1.4 Diversity of the objects currently in the public realm was highlighted as an issue amongst some respondents, with some suggestions focusing on the belief that any new objects should be more diverse and a better reflection of Manchester’s society in the modern day.

For example, 17% said there should be more representation of women or that there were too many men currently. A further 16% stating that the objects are not diverse, inclusive, or reflective of Manchester and that more diversity was needed. Moreover, 14% stated that there should be more representation of ethnic minorities or that there was too much focus on white individuals currently. Example verbatim quotes include:

*“There are too many male Victorian industrialists. Manchester’s history is much wider. The lack of women is appalling.”*

*“There’s only one woman. There are statues of slavers and colonialists and those who supported subjugation of people. There’s very little representing our diverse population or our social history.”*

*“We need to have not only more statues which represent the African communities of Manchester. Our communities are now so diverse however our place names, statues and plaques do not represent that. Also place names should not only be in the neighbourhoods in which African, Caribbean or Asians live. They should be in all and any community so that people will learn about other communities, through using their natural inquiry skills.”*

- 5.1.5 Subgroup analysis was undertaken to explore the results provided by different respondent groups to the consultation, such as gender, age group, ethnicity, and disability. Subgroup analysis was only undertaken where at least 100 comments were provided and where the subgroup size was at least 20.
- 5.1.6 Female respondents were more likely than male respondents to suggest that there should be more representation of women or that there were too many men (28% compared with 9%), or that objects are not diverse, inclusive, or reflective of Manchester or that more diversity is needed (22% compared with 11%).
- 5.1.7 Conversely, male respondents were more likely than female respondents to suggest objects represent good and bad history and it is important to remember history (36% compared with 19%) and that objects should not be removed, replaced, or renamed (22% compared with 12%).

5.1.8 Compared with the overall results, those aged up to 25 were more likely to say they notice or like all objects (21% compared with 12%) and that the objects are an important part of the city landscape or are tourist attractions (18% compared with 7%).

5.1.9 The following subgroups were most likely to suggest more representation of ethnic minorities/too much focus on white individuals (14% overall):

- Female respondents (19%) compared with male respondents (9%)
- BAME respondents (25%) compared with those of white ethnicity (13%)
- Those who indicated they had a disability (30%) compared with those who indicated that they did not (14%)

## 5.2 Favourite Objects in the Public Realm

5.2.1 Respondents were asked to identify their favourite objects in Manchester's public spaces across four separate questions. Common responses in terms of favourite object were:

- bronze sculpture of Emmeline Pankhurst in St Peter's Square (16%);
- Alan Turing memorial in Sackville Park, and the Queen Victoria statue situated on the Piccadilly Esplanade (11%)
- Abraham Lincoln in Lincoln Square (7%)
- Robert Peel in Piccadilly Gardens (6%)

5.2.2 Other favoured objects that do not depict an individual include the Peterloo memorial (4%), Victory over Blindness (4%), the Vimto sculpture (3%) and the Cenotaph, also known as the St Peter's Square war memorial (2%).

5.2.3 Common reasons provided by respondents as why objects were liked included;

- that they are of historical significance or represent history (45%)
- they represent Manchester and local people (32%) (while 10% said they represent Britain or British society)
- favourite objects were an important, inspiring or an influential figure (26%)
- favourite objects were meaningful or emotive (26%) or celebrated achievement or legacy (15%)
- favourite objects were interesting, educational and informative (10%), and a further 7% suggesting they were thought provoking or a talking point.
- their favourite objects were of good design or were attractive or impressive (17%)

5.2.4 Examples of verbatim quotes about favourite objects:

*“Represent untold stories that people can really connect with. Manchester is the home of radicals, revolutionaries, and rebels; built on the back of those less fortunate, these stories deserve to be told and celebrated.”*

*“They're distinctively British history. Something we should be proud of and not smear and erase.”*

*“ . . . They connect us to a past that was often messy and horrible and sad, but they enliven the streets and give them interest. People should learn from history not try to destroy it because it is uncomfortable for them.”*

### 5.3 Most Disliked Objects in the Public Realm

5.3.1 Respondents were asked to identify their least favourite objects in Manchester’s public spaces across four separate questions. Common responses in terms of least favourite object were:

- The bronze statue of Queen Victoria on the Piccadilly Esplanade (12%);
- Not having a least favourite object, that they were all important and they all represent history (11%);
- Friedrich Engels, the Peterloo memorial and Mahatma Gandhi (5% each),
- Robert Peel, the Duke of Wellington and the George Floyd Mural (4% each)

5.3.2 Common reasons provided as why objects were disliked included;

- that they are unsightly or of a poor design (25%)
- they have no link to Manchester (17%)
- the object depicts a controversial figure, event or ideology (16%)
- the object is outdated, irrelevant or uninteresting (13%)

5.3.3 A slightly larger proportion of respondents suggested that the objects which were disliked should be left where they were and not be removed (13%) compared with those who believed they should be removed, moved or put in a museum (10%).

5.3.4 Another common suggestion for what should be done about the existing objects was to add more signage or contextual information alongside the existing objects, suggested by 10% of respondents.

5.3.5 Examples of verbatim quotes about least favourite objects:

*“Boer War was an imperialist conflict where the British used concentration camps, it is inappropriate to memorialise it.”*

*“Feels like we are celebrating monarchy and Empire, neither of which do I care about. And British Empire is responsible for so many current day problems (both in and outside the UK).”*

*“All statues have a place . . . love them all as they all tell us something.”*

*“None [should be removed]. I don’t approve of censorship or trying to rewrite history. Our present views cannot be superimposed on historical ideas.”*

*“I think we should keep the Victorian statues but maybe change signage to explain their context better.”*

### 6.0 Who completed the survey?


6.1 Manchester Centre for Public History at Manchester Metropolitan University undertook some analysis of the demographic responses to the on-line survey.

The analysis provides a useful indication about who responded to the survey, but it should be noted that:


- a proportion of respondents did not have Manchester postcodes (although this does not preclude respondents from having a connection with the city, e.g., work, visitor, in education, former resident etc).
- published population statistics for Manchester are estimates calculated from various sources.
- a percentage of respondents (7 – 9%) did not provide demographic information

Demographic analysis of the on-line survey respondents was also provided by the city council’s Performance Research and Intelligence: Data Governance team which has provided the graphics below.

## 6.2 Gender Identity



### 6.3 Age




The consultation responses show a significant number of responses from the age groups 50-64 years [30% respondents v. 13% city residents] and 65 – 74 years [11% respondents v. 5% residents]. The 40 – 49 years band was slightly overrepresented at 15% v. 11% but those younger than 39 years were underrepresented.

### 6.4 Disability



5% of respondents indicated that they considered themselves to have a disability and 19% of respondents identified as living with a specific type of disability. There is no population data available for disability to inform if these figures are representative.


### 6.5 Ethnicity



White British individuals were overrepresented amongst survey respondents at 73% v. 59% of residents. White Irish was slightly overrepresented (3% v. 2%). All other ethnicities were under-represented, those of African descent significantly so at 1% respondents v. 5% of the population.



## 6.6 Sexual Orientation




15% of survey respondents identified as LGBT+ whereas Manchester's LGBT+ population is estimated to be 6% of the population.

6.7 The demographic analysis shows that residents were most likely to complete the survey if they were male, of white ethnic heritage, aged 50-64 years old and living in Central or South Manchester. There is underrepresentation from those under 39 years old, people living in the North or East of the city and people of ethnicities other than white ethnic heritage.

## 7.0 Focus Groups

7.1 The focus groups took place on-line with people who had booked places via Eventbrite. An afternoon and evening session took place on Wednesday March 8, 2021, with a total of 136 people attending. Postcodes were collected, most participants lived in or close to Manchester, with a small amount of people attending from across the UK. Most attendees identified as female (108 people), 45 people identified as male and 8 people identified their gender as 'other'.

### 7.1.1 Postcode Map of attendees taking part in discussion sessions.



### 7.2 Both sessions opened with contributions from 4 speakers:

- Gary Younge an award-winning author, broadcaster and a professor of sociology at the University of Manchester.
- Kerin Morris an artist & youth mentor at HideOut Youth Zone (Gorton), who also runs her own business 'Actively Mad' which supports young people and vulnerable adults access the arts.
- Prof. Hakim Adi a Professor of the History of Africa and the African Diaspora at the University of Chichester. Hakim was the first historian of African heritage to become a professor of history in Britain.
- Alan Rice a Professor in English and American Studies at the University of Central Lancashire, Preston, co-director of the Institute for Black Atlantic Research (IBAR) and director of the University of Central Lancashire Lancashire Research Centre in Migration, Diaspora and Exile (MIDEX)

7.2.1 Each speaker introduced their work, sharing their point of view and some provocation or questions to stimulate and inform discussion. This was followed by 30 minute facilitated discussion groups comprising of 4 -8 people and a final plenary of feedback session by each discussion group to the whole meeting. Participants were randomly allocated to the discussion groups.

7.3 Both sessions and all the focus groups were recorded. A transcript was made from the plenary session and insights from a thematic reading were identified by Manchester Histories.

7.4 Of high importance to participants in the focus groups was:

- Consultation and collaboration between decision makers, residents and artists, involving a diversity of people and voices;
- Future commemoration and memorialisation should increase the diversity of representation in the public realm;
- Developments in the public realm should be accessible;
- Improving education and information resources about objects in the public realm, with many suggesting the development/promotion of trails and interactive engagement tools (e.g., digital);
- Considering different ways to memorialise or commemorate that are not statues or sculptures, for example, creating green, gathering spaces, digital, performance or temporary installations. As well as encouraging innovation this theme also highlighted that considerations of cost and maintenance were important;
- Artworks should be of high quality;
- Artworks should encourage people to interact with the public realm, be fun, colourful and engaging;
- Putting art in public spaces – bringing the gallery outside and engaging with people you might not visit an art gallery. The 50 Windows of Creativity exhibition that placed artworks in public spaces across the city centre during Autumn 2020 was cited as a good example several times.

#### 7.5 Examples of feedback from the focus groups:

*When statues fall, we're not tearing up history we're creating new moments and memories, which creates history.*

*We talked about the fact that things don't necessarily need to be taken away but perhaps put in context when in new ways.*

*First one was about representation, and the imbalance that exists at the moment with the vast majority of older white folks that are in very permanent settings, so we definitely wanted to see more representation of working-class people and their struggles, women, people of colour, and LGBT people and disabled people, so these were all, like, missing. . .*

*History is about people rather than monuments.*

*. . . we had a really interesting discussion around interaction, and how things in your public space should, you know, invite interaction. However, sometimes that's not always positive interaction, and how do you get that, that, that balance between inviting people to be part of what's in the public realm, and at the same also protecting what's in the public realm.*

*And there should be a lot more fun. It should be a lot more fun so that people can interact and through doing things through sort of digital and digital spaces trails that kind of thing.*

## 8.0 Video Box

- 8.1 Manchester Histories also invited the public and key individuals to take part in an online video box slot to express their own views about the monuments, statues, place names and other features in Manchester's public places.

8.2 These sessions were recorded, and a short video was produced to document a selection of people's views as part of the consultation process. To watch the short film visit: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t6TAv6iOYwo>

## 9.0 Youth Council

9.1 Manchester Histories also facilitated an on-line focus group session with a group of young people from Manchester Youth Council. They were asked the same set of questions as in the on-line focus groups discussion to keep the consultation consistent across all sessions.

9.2 Of key importance to the group were:

- Better representation and being able to identify with history that is relevant to them;
- Celebrating the people and places of today rather than just the past;
- Using digital technologies to tell stories rather than just putting up statues;
- Talking to people especially young people to ask them what they want, don't leave them out of the conversation;
- Things should happen in local areas not just the city centre.

9.3 Examples of feedback from young people's focus group

*I'd like to do a public forum and ask people... It's actually good to speak to people and know what they want ...rather than speaking for them*  
*I'd definitely put something to celebrate, like, the diversity of people because even though there is like a few things out there which show the diverse stuff ... there's nothing really out there that is just like saying what kind of city we are.. it's just like, oh these people came from our city in the past, look at them, it's nothing like what Manchester is now*  
*I don't think there's anything wrong with you know saying that, you know, we've done some heinous things in the past. I don't think there's anything wrong with admitting that.*  
*Using digital stuff like apps and geo caching would be good, but not everyone has technology so having things in local hubs, like libraries would, be good.*

## 10.0 Consultation – conclusion

10.1 The consultation results across the survey and focus groups are not conclusive but they do provide a good indication that there is public appetite for discussion about statues, monuments, memorials, plaques and place names in public spaces in Manchester. The consultation also provides an indication of the diversity and complexity of views and feelings people hold on this topic.

10.2 The consultation was intended to the starting point for a conversation with residents, stakeholders and other interested parties and the City Council. The consultation results and recommendations from the Culture and Heritage Object Review suggest that further involvement is wanted, and this should be included in future public art strategy. Consideration of consultation principles

that the city may want to want to adopt is included in the specification for the Public Art Strategy Framework, which is being developed part of the Histories, Stories and Voices programme later this year.

## **11.0 Review of cultural and heritage objects in the city**

- 11.1 Manchester Metropolitan University's, Manchester Centre for Public History and Heritage was successful in tendering for the Histories, Stories and Voices in Manchester's Public Realm Cultural and Heritage Object Review. This piece of work is in its completing phase, the final draft project report has been received and the database of objects, including, statues, monuments, memorials and artworks in the public realm, has been created and will be transferred to Manchester Archives + later in September.
- 11.2 The purpose of the review was to gather information to support greater understanding of objects' historical context and modern-day reflections, with a view to providing a good basis for informed debate, research and reflection about our current values, identity and collective histories as a city.
- 11.3 The review recognised that whilst cultural and heritage objects do not tell the history of the city (although that is often how they are perceived) they are illustrative of the concerns, values and influences of time at which they were commissioned.
- 11.4 The objectives of the review were to:
- Survey known cultural and heritage objects in Manchester's public realm and create a database of related information sources
  - Capture objects which may not already be recorded, such as modern-day memorials
  - Collate information about the historical context for objects and their siting
  - Identify ways to strengthen the role of public realm objects in realising the city Council's *Equality Objectives 2020 – 2024*
  - Highlight any objects of concern in a modern-day values context or that are the subject of significant controversy or at potential risk
  - Research options for a user-friendly online information resource (database) about cultural and heritage in the public realm

## **12.0 Cultural and Heritage Object Database – design**

- 12.1 The database has been designed to be a robust and scalable foundation for diverse future outputs, such as public research resources, tools for improving accessibility and inclusion, visitor maps and self-guided tours, and policies relating to existing and future public realm objects. Each object listed within the database is accompanied by a description, references to whom or what the object represents and who created it (and when), a list of archival and media resources which reference the object, PDFs of any media resources that may not stay online, and in most cases several photographs of the object. Location data has been included to connect to Geographic Information Systems software and to assist planning and management.

12.2 The database is not intended as a completed piece of work but provides a template to continue to document other objects and items of cultural and historical interest (e.g street names) over time. Members of the public will be able to suggest additions – both for objects not captured as yet and sources of information about objects.

12.3 Diverse lists of heritage objects and cultural priorities were derived via consultation with:

DaDaFest (disability and deaf arts organisation)  
 Greater Manchester Disabled People's Archive  
 Gender Identity Research & Education Society  
 Jenny Marsden (archivist, Archives+)  
 Karen Shannon (Chief Executive, Manchester Histories)  
 LGBT Foundation  
 LGBTPlus History Month  
 Manchester Art Gallery  
 Manchester Centre for Public History & Heritage staff  
 Manchester Metropolitan University staff & students  
 Manchester Modernist Society  
 Manchester Public Realm Team  
 Manchester Victorian Society  
 Nubian Jak Community Trust  
 University of Manchester  
 Peter Kalu (writer for Black History Month, collaborator with Colonial Countryside)  
 Rethink Mental Illness  
 Scope  
 Stonewall  
 Terry Wyke's *Public Sculpture of Greater Manchester*  
 The Equality Trust  
 University of Manchester Special Collections, Archives

12.4 See Appendix 1 for a list of objects included in the database to date (July 2021).

### **13.0 Cultural and Heritage Object Review - Results and Assessments**

13.1 The review assessed the 119 persons directly represented by objects on the database list and found that:

14 (12%) were female  
 28 (24%) were of constitutional significance (political, legal)  
 18 (15%) are remembered for military bravery  
 17 (14%) represented STEM achievements  
 13 (11%) were men of religion  
 11 (9%) signified literacy  
 8 (7%) were British heads of state  
 5 (4%) specialised in music  
 5 (4%) are remembered for acts of aviation derring-do

5 (4%) were what we called “everyday heroes” (firefighters, Captain Tom)

5 (4%) were active in the visual arts

3 (3%) are associated with tragic events (Holocaust, teenage suicide)

\*Assessment of the ethnic and racial identities of the people represented was outside the scope of the research

13.2 Despite increasing recognition of achievement by women, they are still under-represented by objects identified by the review. Most people represented in the database would be perceived as white males by many people viewing them.

13.3 The Histories, Stories and Voices consultation generated a list of individuals who were felt to be worthy of commemoration by the consultation respondents, and which could be a useful starting point for considering future public realm objects. 89% of “people, events or movements” suggested for memorialisation by consultation respondents were not subjects that had been identified by the review of existing cultural and heritage objects.

#### **14.0 Cultural and Heritage Objects Review - Recommendations**

14.1 The report provides several short- and longer-term recommendations for the city council and partners to consider. In summary these are:

- steps that can be taken to make the project database both useful as a management tool, and accessible to the public;
- steps that can be taken to continue to update and grow the database, including contributions from members of the public;
- suggestions for longer term use of the data in combination with other digital technologies aimed at embedding the information in the public arena;
- suggestions to addresses wider issues of inclusion and diversity that have been identified during the review, in combination with the public consultation results. For example, digital interaction and fuller interpretation information and on-going community engagement and consultation.

#### **15.0 Cultural and Heritage Objects Review - Next steps**

15.1 The City Council will receive the cultural and heritage object database, which will be hosted by Manchester Archives+ in the Central Library and will explore possibilities to make the database more accessible to the public. It will also be shared with colleagues with responsibility for the public realm to develop the management tool capability in the most appropriate way.

15.2 Recommendations regarding the development of interactive digital resources for public engagement will require external funding and partnerships to engage appropriate expertise and to ensure any resource is relevant to and embedded with partners across the city so that it is well used and has longevity. We will continue to work with Manchester Histories and partners on the History, Stories and Voices Project Board and others towards this.

- 15.3 We will also continue to develop partnerships with other relevant initiatives we have connected with during the Histories, Stories and Voices programme, including;

**In Manchester's DNA**, a project works with schools, local history groups, and creative artists to produce resources around ideas of identity, place, citizenship. The key partners are University of Manchester, MRI Library, Ahmed Iqbal Ullah Race Relations Centre and the John Rylands University Library. The first set of projects was schools work, creating resources for students to think about identity, place, language, and migration. The resources are freely available for all to use. The project took place last school year but will run again from September-March. Other plans include securing funding for a year-long 'Manchester DNA' cultural festival in partnership with UNESCO City of Literature.

Manchester Museum's **Our Shared Cultural Heritage** project led by Sadia Habib seeks to test and evaluate new models for engaging young people from the South Asian diaspora and their peers with heritage. The project supports young people in leading on activities and events that are relevant and useful to their lives, identities and communities, and that explore the shared histories and cultures of the UK and South Asia.

**MADE**, Manchester's Cultural Education Partnership, is a collaboration between cultural organisations and schools who work together to maximise the connections between culture, creativity and education. MADE is establishing a new task group to develop new heritage resources focussing on local history and diversity. Manchester Histories will play an active role in this task group.

- 15.4 Recommendations to address wider issues of inclusion and diversity will be considered during the Public Art Strategy consultancy in further consultation with partners and stakeholders.

## 16.0 Public Art Strategy development

- 16.1 The third and final deliverable in the Histories, Stories and Voices programme is the development of a Public Art Strategy for Manchester. The council is seeking specialist consultancy support for this work, which is expected to be completed by the end of the calendar year.
- 16.2 Public art can take many forms and can be valuable in contributing to the cultural and aesthetic quality of a place, enhancing its unique character and identity. In addition to this, public art can help attract visitors, enhance neighbourhoods and support the development, economic growth and the attractiveness of a city. Manchester has a variety of public art; however, it is recognised that there is a predominance of statues and monuments that commemorate historical figures.
- 16.3 The Public Art Strategy will establish a coherent, strategic framework for the approach and decision making regarding high-quality public art for the city. It will articulate Manchester's priorities for artworks in the public realm, informing



future commissioning and de-commissioning decisions; review and clarify the decision-making process; provide a guide that ensures proposals contribute to a high quality, inclusive and accessible public realm; and establishes a funding and maintenance strategy to secure the long-term viability of existing and new works.

- 16.4 The Public Art Strategy's priorities will be informed by the Histories, Stories and Voices consultation and Cultural and Heritage Review, the Our Manchester Strategy and on-going dialogue with stakeholders and residents, which is why it is being undertaken as the last phase of the Histories, Stories and Voices in Manchester's Public Realm programme.
- 16.5 The Strategy will be used to inform and guide decision-making and ensure proposals deliver public realm that matches the wider ambitions for the city and will look at how future commissions can better reflect the diversity of the city and help redress the historical imbalance. The framework will be a shared document, available for use by arts practitioners, community initiators, public agencies, the independent and commercial development sectors, as well as the city council.

## **17.0 Conclusion**

- 17.1 The Histories, Stories and Voices in Manchester's Public Realm programme has made some significant progress to date, including:

Delivery, despite the challenges of the pandemic, of an inclusive and engaging consultations process which has provided valuable insights into people's views about the current objects in the city and suggestions for improvements, themes, subjects and formats for the future.

Production of the city's most detailed review of cultural and heritage objects in the public realm, providing the most comprehensive overview of information to date and in a format that will support future digital access and public engagement activities.

A commissioning process to prepare a public art strategy for the city is underway. The resulting plan will influence future decision making about public art (including statues, monuments and memorials), ensuring that Manchester has a world class public realm that is increasingly reflective of the city's communities past and modern-day identity.

## **18.0 Recommendations**

Recommendations can be found at the front of this report.

This page is intentionally left blank

**Appendix 1****List of Objects in the Cultural and Heritage Object database to date - July 2021**

List of people represented by public realm objects within the database

Abraham Lincoln  
 Ahmed Iqbal Ullah  
 Alan Turing  
 Alan Hamilton Ross  
 Albert Hill  
 Albert Edward of Saxe-Coburg and Gotha  
 (Alexandrina) Victoria of Kent  
 Alfred "the Great" of Wessex  
 Alleyne Webber  
 Alliott Verdon Roe  
 Amy Euphemia Jacques Garvey  
 Angelo Jennison  
 Annelies [Anne] Marie Frank  
 Anthony Burgess  
 Archimedes of Syracuse  
 Arthur Wellesley  
 Arthur Whitten Brown  
 Benjamin Brierley  
 Chaim Weizmann  
 Charles Pimblett  
 Charles (Carl) Halle  
 Charles Edward Stuart  
 Charles Robert Cockerell  
 Charles Stewart Rolls  
 Charlotte Bronte  
 Christabel Harriette Pankhurst  
 Doris Speed  
 Edgar Wood  
 Edward Frankland  
 Edward [Eddie] Thornber  
 Edward [St Edward Barlow; name in religion Ambrose] Barlow  
 Elizabeth Gaskell  
 Elizabeth Raffald [née Whitaker]  
 Elizabeth Alexandra Mary of York  
 Elizabeth Salisbury Heywood  
 Ellen Wilkinson  
 Emmeline Pankhurst [née Goulden]  
 Ernest Jones  
 Ernest Rutherford  
 (Estelle) Sylvia Pankhurst  
 (Frederick) Robert Donat  
 Ford Madox Brown  
 Frances Hodgson Burnett  
 Francis Albert Augustus Charles Emmanuel of Saxe-Coburg and Gotha  
 Frederick Millne  
 Frederick Henry Royce

Freidrich Engels  
George Stringer  
George Padmore  
Gerald Webber  
Giovanni Battista "John" Barbirolli  
Graham Thomson Lyall  
Hannah Maria Mitchell [née Webster]  
Hans Renold  
Henry Roscoe  
Henry Kelly  
Henry George Wakelyn [Harry] Smith  
Humphrey Verdon Roe  
Ian Kevin Curtis  
James Sadler  
James Stanley (Lord Strange), seventh earl of Derby  
James Fraser  
James Watt  
James Prince Lee  
John Dalton  
John Alcock  
John Wesley  
John Bennet  
John Nelson  
John Bradford  
John Owens  
John Bright  
John Thomas  
John Readitt  
John Curley  
John Thomas  
John Ashton Nicholls  
John Hay Beith  
John Milson Rhodes  
John Noel Nichols  
Jomo Kenyatta  
Joseph Gough McCormick  
Joyce Layland  
Karol Józef "Pope John Paul II" Wojtyła  
Kwame Nkrumah  
Louis Paulhan  
Mahatma Gandhi  
Malcolm Ross  
Michael Kennedy  
Nelson Rolihlahla Mandela  
Oliver Cromwell  
Oliver Heywood  
Peter Green  
Peter Mark Roget  
(Pierre) Adolphe Valette  
Raoul Gustaf Wallenberg

Richard Cobden  
 Richard Lane  
 Richard Sykes  
 (Robert) Howard Spring  
 Robert Peel  
 Robert Owen  
 Robert Angus Smith  
 Rupert of Cumberland  
 Sam Wild  
 Samuel Edward Astle  
 Solon of Athens  
 T. Ras Makonnen  
 Thomas De Quincey  
 Thomas de la Warr [Warre]  
 Thomas "Captain Tom" Moore  
 Walter Carroll  
 William Brereton  
 William Temple  
 William Jones  
 William Henry  
 William Royle  
 William Edward Burghardt Du Bois  
 William Ewart Gladstone  
 William Harrison Ainsworth

**List of those who created/designed public realm objects within the database**

Akse  
 Albert Bruce-Joy  
 Alfred Waterhouse  
 Amang Mardokhy  
 Andrew McKeown  
 Anya Gallaccio  
 Archibald Campbell Dickie  
 Axel Wolkenhauer  
 Axel Void  
 Byron Howard  
 Charles Sargeant Jagger  
 Christopher Rose-Innes  
 Daniela Coray  
 David Hyde  
 Edward Onslow Ford  
 Edwin Lutyens  
 Elisabeth Frink  
 Faunagraphic  
 Ferdinand Victor Blundstone  
 Fiona Heron  
 George Meek  
 George Ralston Wyllie  
 Harry Bates  
 Henry Shelmerdine

James Henry Sellers  
 Jeebesh Bagchi  
 Jeremy Deller  
 Joel Artista  
 Johanna Domke-Guyot  
 John Ashton Floyd  
 John Cassidy  
 John Cheere  
 (John) Hubert Worthington  
 Joseph Bonehill  
 Kan Yasuda  
 Karen Howell  
 Kerry Morrison  
 Leonard Cecil "L.C." Howitt  
 Liz Scrine  
 Louis Frederick Roslyn [Roselieb]  
 Lynn Chadwick  
 Lynne Addison  
 Mario Raggi  
 Marshall Hall  
 Matthew Noble  
 Michael Lyons  
 Monica Narula  
 Paul Frank Lewthwaite  
 Ram Vanji Sutar  
 Reginald Theodore Blomfield  
 Sharon Virtue  
 Shuddhabrata Sengupta  
 Tadeusz Lesisz  
 Tamara [Hyuro] Djurovic  
 Tankpetrol  
 Ted Roocroft  
 Temple Lushington Moore  
 Thomas Harrison  
 Thomas Worthington  
 Thomas Woolner  
 Thompson Dagnall  
 William Theed the younger  
 William Hamo Thornycroft  
 Wolfgang Buttress

**List of events represented by public realm objects within the database**

150th Anniversary of the Co-Operative Movement  
 AIDS pandemic  
 American Civil War  
 Anglo-Zulu War  
 Atomic bombing of Hiroshima  
 Atomic bombing of Nagasaki  
 Battle of Marston Moor  
 Battle of Waterloo

Building the Crown Court, Crown Square  
Coronation of Elizabeth II  
Covid-19 pandemic  
Creation of Queen's Park  
Death of Prince Albert  
Development of Archimedes' principle  
Establishment of The University of Manchester  
Fifth Pan-African Congress  
First English Civil War  
First flight between London and Manchester  
First gig by James (the band) at the Hacienda nightclub  
First manned balloon ascent in Manchester  
First meeting of the Catenian Association  
First non-stop aeroplane crossing of the Atlantic  
Founding of Anti-Corn Law League  
Founding of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology  
Halabja Massacre  
Incorporation of Manchester  
Invention of Vimto  
IRA bombing of Corporation Street area  
Iran–Iraq War  
Israeli–Palestinian conflict  
Jacobite movement  
Korean War  
Manchester Arena bombing  
Methodist movement  
Operation Grapple  
Peterloo Massacre  
Queen Victoria's Diamond Jubilee  
South African War (Second Boer War)  
Spanish Civil War  
Tenerife air disaster  
The Holocaust / The Shoah  
The Millennium  
The opening of Philips Park  
VE Day  
VJ Day  
World War 1  
World War 2

This page is intentionally left blank



**Manchester City Council  
Report for Information**

**Report to:** Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee – 7 September 2021

**Subject:** Overview Report

**Report of:** Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit

---

**Summary**

This report provides the following information:

- Recommendations Monitor
- Key Decisions
- Items for Information
- Work Programme

**Recommendation**

The Committee is invited to discuss the information provided and agree any changes to the work programme that are necessary.

---

**Contact Officer:**

Name: Rachel McKeon  
Position: Scrutiny Support Officer  
Telephone: 0161 234 4997  
Email: rachel.mckeon@manchester.gov.uk

**Background documents (available for public inspection):**

None

## 1. Monitoring Previous Recommendations

This section of the report lists recommendations made by the Committee and responses to them indicating whether the recommendation will be implemented and, if it will be, how this will be done.

<b>Date</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Contact Officer</b>
8 October 2020	CESC/20/38 Update on Work with the Voluntary, Community and Social Enterprise (VCSE) Sector During COVID-19	To request information on the financial support that has been given during the pandemic by the Council and external funders, broken down by equality strands, as well as information on any gaps in provision.	A response to this recommendation has been requested and will be circulated to Members.	Mark Duncan, Strategic Lead (Resources and Programmes)
11 March 2021	CESC/21/15 Residents and Communities Recovery Situation Report Summary	Request that information on how many families the new families hostel will accommodate and how long families will stay at the hostel be circulated to all Members of the Committee.	A response to this recommendation has been requested and will be circulated to Members.	Fiona Worrall, Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods)

## 2. Key Decisions

The Council is required to publish details of key decisions that will be taken at least 28 days before the decision is due to be taken. Details of key decisions that are due to be taken are published on a monthly basis in the Register of Key Decisions.

A key decision, as defined in the Council's Constitution is an executive decision, which is likely:

- To result in the Council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the Council's budget for the service or function to which the decision relates, or
- To be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the city.

The Council Constitution defines 'significant' as being expenditure or savings (including the loss of income or capital receipts) in excess of £500k, providing that is not more than 10% of the gross operating expenditure for any budget heading in the in the Council's Revenue Budget Book, and subject to other defined exceptions.

An extract of the most recent Register of Key Decisions, published on **27 August 2021** containing details of the decisions under the Committee's remit is included below. This is to keep members informed of what decisions are being taken and, where appropriate, include in the work programme of the Committee.

**Register of Key Decisions:**

<b>Subject / Decision</b>	<b>Decision Maker</b>	<b>Decision Due Date</b>	<b>Consultation</b>	<b>Background documents</b>	<b>Officer Contact</b>
<p><b>National Taekwondo Centre 2018/10/19A</b></p> <p>Enter into a 39 year lease with Sport Taekwondo UK Ltd for areas within the building.</p>	Chief Executive	Not before 1st Nov 2018		Briefing Note and Heads of Terms	Richard Cohen r.cohen@manchester.gov.uk
<p><b>Financial approval of MCR Active Contract 2021/22 (2021/01/28A)</b></p> <p>Financial approval of third year of MCR Active Contract for period 1April 2021 to 31 March 2022.</p>	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Mar 2021		Report to Deputy Chief Executive and City Treasurer	Yvonne O'Malley, Commercial Lead; Neighborhoods yvonne.o'malley@manchester.gov.uk
<p><b>Chorlton Library Refurbishment (2021/02/17C)</b></p> <p>The approval of capital expenditure for the refurbishment of Chorlton Library (Libraries Renewal Programme)</p>	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 18th Mar 2021		Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Neil MacInnes n.macinnes@manchester.gov.uk

<p><b>National Football Museum (2021/08/05A)</b></p> <p>To approve a new lease and grant.</p>	Executive	15 Sep 2021		Report and recommendations for resolution.	Louise Lanigan, Principal Resources and Programmes Officer (Culture) louise.lanigan@manchester.gov.uk
<p><b>Rough Sleepers Accommodation Programme (RSAP) Property Acquisitions (2021/08/25A)</b></p> <p>To give capital expenditure approval to provide up to 20 x 1-bedroom accommodations for people who are at risk of sleeping rough.</p>	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 25th Sep 2021		Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Yvette Ryle, Project Manager Yvette.ryle@manchester.gov.uk
<p><b>Refurbishment of libraries (2021/06/10C)</b></p> <p>To agree additional capital expenditure for refurbishment and refresh of library premises across the city.</p>	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 10th Jul 2021		Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Neil MacInnes n.macinnes@manchester.gov.uk
<p><b>National Cycling Centre Refurbishment Project (2021/08/25B)</b></p> <p>To approve capital expenditure to proceed with NCC refurbishment project into construction phase.</p>	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 25th Sep 2021		Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Neil Fairlamb N.Fairlamb@manchester.gov.uk
<p><b>Extra Care - Russell Road LGBT Project 2019/03/01H</b></p> <p>The approval of capital expenditure on the City's Extra Care Programme</p>	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Mar 2019		Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Sheen s.sheen@manchester.gov.uk

to develop new build extra care units which will be in the ownership of MCC.					
<p><b>Framework Agreement for the Provision of Temporary Accommodation for People with No Recourse to Public Funds (2021/02/03B)</b></p> <p>The appointment of providers to provide Temporary Accommodation for People with No Recourse to Public Funds</p>	Executive Director of Adult Social Services	Not before 1st Apr 2021		Report and Recommendation	Mike Worsley mike.worsley@manchester.gov.uk

**Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee  
Work Programme – September 2021**

<b>Tuesday 7 September 2021, 10.00 am (Report deadline Wednesday 25 August 2021)</b>				
<b>Item</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Executive Member</b>	<b>Strategic Director/ Lead Officer</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Histories, Stories and Voices in Manchester's Public Realm	To receive a report on the review of how the city's historical and current day diversity is reflected in the city's public realm.	Councillor Rahman	Fiona Worrall/Neil MacInnes	
Domestic Violence and Abuse	To receive a report on Domestic Violence and Abuse, including the Domestic Violence and Abuse Strategy.	Councillor Midgley	Fiona Worrall/ Sam Stabler/ Delia Edwards	See January 2021 minutes Executive report
Overview Report	The monthly report includes the recommendations monitor, relevant key decisions, the Committee's work programme and any items for information.	-	Rachel McKeon	

<b>Items To Be Scheduled</b>				
<b>Item</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Executive Member</b>	<b>Strategic Director/ Lead Officer</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Equalities – Race and Ethnicity	To take a deep dive look at race and ethnicity.	Councillor Rahman	Fiona Ledden/James Binks	October (TBC)
HMICFRS Victim Services Inspection Update	To receive a follow-up report in approximately six months' time.	Councillor Akbar	Fiona Worrall	October (TBC) See March 2021 minutes Invite Chief Superintendent Paul Savill, GMP

Community Safety Partnership	To include the new policing and crime plan, following the appointment of GMP's new Chief Constable.	Councillor Akbar	Fiona Worrall/ Sam Stabler	October (TBC)
Sport and Leisure Strategy Update	To include a deep dive on leisure recovery.	Councillor Akbar	Fiona Worrall/Neil Fairlamb	October (TBC)
Equalities - Age	To take a deep dive look at age.	Councillor Rahman	Fiona Ledden/James Binks	November (TBC)
Equalities - Disability	To take a deep dive look at disability.	Councillor Rahman	Fiona Ledden/James Binks	November (TBC)
Communities of Identity draft report	To receive the Communities of Identity draft report.	Councillor Rahman	Fiona Ledden/James Binks	November (TBC)
Annual Compliance Report	To receive the Annual Compliance Report.	Councillor Akbar	Fiona Worrall	December (TBC – full meeting)
Prevent and Radequal	To receive a report on Prevent and Radequal.	Councillor Akbar Councillor Rahman	Fiona Worrall/ Sam Stabler	
Community Cohesion Strategy	To receive a report on the Community Cohesion Strategy,	Councillor Rahman	Fiona Worrall/ Sam Stabler	
Highways Crimes	To receive a report on highways crimes.	Councillor Akbar	Fiona Worrall	
Support for People Leaving Prison	To include information on changes to probation services, how ex-prisoners are re-integrated into society and links with homelessness.	Councillor Akbar Councillor Rahman	Fiona Worrall/ Sam Stabler/ Mohamed Hussein	

This page is intentionally left blank